

and restoring the tank to a standard of safety, it will follow that Government is liable to maintain all Inamdars' tanks in proportion to the jodi which it receives in each case. This is of course absurd. The governing principle is this. The Inam was granted on the understanding that the Inamdar should maintain the irrigation work and enjoy the lands subject only to the payment of a jodi. In this view the concession asked for cannot be granted on principle or as a rule. At the same time there may be cases (and such are sometimes met with) in which the cost of repairing a tank falls with undue weight on the Inamdar and there are circumstances which call for help from Government in one way or another. Favorable consideration has been granted in such cases, and will continue to be granted when the circumstances justify it. In this respect the Kayamguttadar is not in a different position from the Jodidar.

Subject No. 181.

There should be no rule of limitation in regard to revenue due by raiyats to Inamdars.

Summary of discussion.—There is no rule of limitation in respect of revenue due from raiyats to Government. The same exemption should be made applicable to revenue due by raiyats to Inamdars.

Dewan's reply.—The Sarkar has to administer a large tract of country and collect its revenue from several hundreds of thousands of tenants through the agency of a very large number of subordinate officials. Therefore rules of limitation cannot safely be applied to Sarkar revenue though, as a matter of fact the Sarkar does not too rigorously enforce its demands for old debts. The case is different with the Inamdar who has a very small number of tenants to deal with and can easily recover his dues in time.

Subject No. 182.

Separate working of Inamdar's toddy revenue.

Summary of discussion.—Under existing rules and practice, an Inamdar cannot establish a shop and sell toddy. The effect of this is to place him at the mercy of the Government contractor. Therefore one of two courses should be adopted, viz., (a) Government should farm the Inamdar's toddy revenue in the same way as it does its own, and pay the Inamdar after recovery from the contractor, or (b) the Inamdar should be authorized to pay as usual.

Dewan's reply.—This is an application that the Inamdar's toddy revenue should be separately worked; such a system is not workable, but a solution on some other lines will be attempted. The contractor should make the usual payments of course to the Inamdar in the meantime.

Subject No. 183.

Supply of survey papers of Inam village to Inamdar.

Summary of discussion.—Inamdars pay the cost of the survey of their villages. Therefore as in Government villages, the Maps, Súd, Akarband and Tipné should be given to the Inamdar to enable him to know the area, assessment, rate and boundaries of his inam village.

Dewan's reply.—This is being done now whenever applications are made. The papers mentioned of course cannot be given when a Detail Survey and Settlement are not introduced into the Inam village.

Subject No. 184.
Detail survey of village sites.

Summary of discussion.—Village sites should be surveyed in detail and mapped, and the areas of house sites entered in the Village Account Form No. 10.

Dewan's reply.—Impracticable without much useless work.

Subject No. 185.
Musafarkhana required for Hindiganahalu market place.

Summary of discussion.—A Musafarkhana is very much required at Hindiganahalu market place.

Dewan's reply.—Other more urgent requirements have first to be provided for and Musafarkhanas would be useful in many much more important places.

Subject No. 186.
Right of grass in topes planted for charitable purposes.

Summary of discussion.—Topes planted for charitable purposes are brought under "Kharab" by the Survey, still the hakdars have a right to remove dry trees and plant new ones but the grass in the topes is included in Hulbanni and cattle allowed to graze there. This impedes the growth of trees and plants. The right of grass in topes ought to be likewise vested in hakdars.

Dewan's reply.—The Government takes no revenue from the grass in such topes. It is free grazing for village cattle, and is thus devoted to charity as are the topes themselves.

Subject No. 187.
Extension of Nela-mangala Town.

Summary of discussion.—Nelamangala is a small town, but it is thickly populated, 4 or 5 families reside in each house. The town ought to be extended.

Dewan's reply.—The Deputy Commissioner will be asked to allot sites for extension of the town.

Subject No. 188.
Irrigation cess on dry Inam land converted into garden by private wells.

Summary of discussion.—It is unreasonable that irrigation cess should be charged on lands cultivated with garden crops with water from wells dug by the occupant in his dry Inam land.

Dewan's reply.—In such a case, the levy of irrigation cess is wrong. (*Vide* reply to a similar representation from the Chitaldroog District. Subject No. 105 *supra*). Orders will be given for revision if found necessary.

Subject No. 189.
Remission of Mohatarfa on Inamti Vattaram.

Summary of discussion.—Mohatarfa is charged on Inamati Vattaram occupied by persons who earn their living by labor and are too poor to pay house-tax. This ought to be remitted.

Dewan's reply.—Mohatarfa does not depend on the nature of the land on which the house stands. Poverty of the taxpayer is a different reason altogether. The Mohatarfa rules do not expressly allow remission for poverty, but in practice those who cannot pay are all excused by remissions in due course. (See also Subject No. 234 below.)